Industry and Ideas

Turkey Red
Technology Transfers and Changes, Imitation and Global Trade

Turkey red is a color named for the place, not the fowl. It is, however, "Turkey" in a very conceptual sense, not bounded by the geographic or political forms of atlas or gazetteer. Turkey red—in French, rouge d’Andrinople or rouge de Turc—was a bright red color on cotton. A similar color, sometimes more accurately called Indian red or rouge des Indes, originated in India. Modern Western viewers would see these shades as "basic," "true," or "common" red, the ancestor of that color found on the ubiquitous red bandanna.

The dyeing of Turkey-red on cotton, though a very late discovery in this kingdom, was established in Glasgow earlier than any part of Great Britain. In the year 1785, Mr. George Mackintosh ... engaged Monsieur Papillon, an eminent Turkey-red dyer from Rouen in Normandy, carried him with him to Glasgow, and ... built an extensive dye-house near Dalmarnock.

Andrew Brown, History of Glasgow (Glasgow, 1795–97), 2:254.

Although a similar color exists for all media, Turkey red refers almost exclusively to a color for dyed or printed textiles. And, although there are testaments to the constant historic demand for red colors throughout Europe, in the eighteenth century Turkey red was principally an export item, shipped to colonists and traders in the Americas, Africa, and the Middle East. The relative lack of interest in the color throughout the German states at this time confirms that role: eighteenth-century German-language descriptions and discussions of Turkey red are almost exclusively republications of articles in popular or general periodicals from other regions of Europe. Except for a few textile districts near the French border, it was not until the nineteenth century that Turkey red and similar colors received the sort of attention it enjoyed in France, Britain, and the Netherlands.

The coloring source for Turkey red may have contributed to the perception of these goods as an item for colonial markets. Its coloring source was madder root which, unlike kermes and cochineal, was cultivated throughout Europe. There were significant differences between traditional European madder reds and this new color, however. The Turkey red process yields a color that is closer to carmine than to dark scarlet or brick reds of European madder-dyeing process. Turkey red is bright and fast and, perhaps most important, it is a technique for cotton rather than wool. Merchants and factors in Europe believed the light weight and simple cleaning methods of cotton cloth made it especially attractive to tropical markets.

There were problems associated with the European imitation of Turkey-red colors, however. The process, as practiced in Turkey, was labor-intensive and expensive. Prepared cotton fibers received successive steepings in lye, olive oil, alum, and
dung in addition to several madder baths and aftertreatments. Production could take weeks. Furthermore, the process was not easily adapted to eighteenth-century European printing techniques, and use was limited to unwoven yarns and whole cloths.

Turkey-red production in Europe is usually considered an achievement of the nineteenth-century cotton-printing industry. Historians of the British textile industry in particular acknowledge an earlier presence but suggest that the impact was insignificant before the founding of certain firms, in Scotland, in the 1780s. The Turkey-red industry is presented as a simultaneous effort to import desirable techniques and transfer production—of materials as well as objects—to regions or nations; its technical developments fall securely within a trajectory of increasing industrialization that is a hallmark of the nineteenth century. If scale of production is a major criterion, then it seems reasonable to ground the growth and development of a cotton-coloring technique in the epoch marked by large-scale factories and an attendant, explosive growth for all aspects of cotton production.

Even so, we know that European efforts to imitate Turkey red were well underway by the 1740s, and that success made the reputation and fortune of several dyers, chemists, and manufacturers by mid-century. Interest in the formulations and processes that create the color was strongest in the districts where cotton manufacture was prevalent—Manchester, Rouen, and, later, Mulhouse. The approach to replication took several forms. Spies were sent to Greece, to Turkey, and later to other European cities to learn the technique. Workers were imported to set up and operate dyehouses specializing in Turkey red. Correspondence with merchants, attachés, missionaries or others stationed in regions where these techniques were a specialty added to the pool of information.

Some investigations attempted to reproduce the color without relying on the original process, once it was revealed. Finding a means to simplify and so understand Turkey red and its chemistry were a significant part of the attempts to recreate the color. And, throughout this period of European experiment, Turkey-red and Indian-red cottons continued to be exported from the East.

---

**Dye process for red on cotton**

1. Boil cotton in lye of Barilla or wood ash.
2. Wash and dry
3. Steep in a liquor of Barilla ash or soda plus sheep's dung and olive oil
4. Rinse, let stand 12 hours, dry
5. Repeat steps 3 & 4 three times.
6. Steep in a fresh liquor of Barilla ash or soda plus sheep's dung, olive oil and white argol.
7. Rinse and dry
8. Repeat steps 6 & 7 three times.
9. Treat with gall nut solution
10. Wash and dry.
11. Repeat steps 9 & 10 once.
12. Treat with a solution of alum, or alum mixed with ashes and Saccharum Saturni (lead acetate).
13. Dry, wash, dry.
14. Madder once or twice with Turkey madder to which a little sheep's blood is added.
15. Wash
16. Boil in a lye made of soda ash or the dung liquor
17. Wash and dry.


Over the decades, trained colormakers, scientific investigators, and others with varying practical or theoretical skills developed techniques to make Turkey red. Patents, publication, and other forms of public acknowledgment for one recipe seemed to encourage announcement of others. The Society of Arts received more than eighteen submissions concerning Turkey red in the years before 1785. John Wilson, a dyer in Manchester, twice won a premium from the Society: he received £50 in 1761 for producing the best Turkey red and two years later a second award for making the color brighter. In France, the first *privilège* to produce Turkey red was granted about 1747, and the *Imprimerie Royale* printed instructions in 1765. Dozens of others applied for permission to manufacture their Turkey-red process, and French patents were granted in 1783 and 1791.

Premièrement, c'est avec raison que les auteurs du proces verbal regardent comme nouvelle la couleur dont il s'agit; elle l'est en effet et par sa beauté et par sa solidité, car en général les nuances légères des couleurs même les plus belles et les plus solides n'ont ni autant d'éclat a proportion, ni autant de ténacité que les mêmes teintures dans leur plénitude. Ce nouveau rose est par conséquent très estimable, et ne peut manquer d'être très advantageux aux manufactures, parce qu'il doit faire un très bel effet dans les siamoises et autres toiles de coton et fil en coton, soit pour habillements, soit pour meubles.


Emanuel Osmont, one of the grantees, won an award for adapting his techniques to produce a shade he called rose of Smyrna. This discovery excited the interest of Louis-Auguste Dambourney, himself owner of a dyehouse specializing in Turkey red. In general, altering the process to make different colors was unusual; there were no "Turkey" blues or browns as there were Prussian greens and reds. Once production methods were known and disseminated, the addition of a final brightening stage that mimicked that of the Turkey-red process began to appear in other dyehouse routines: This was one contribution that the eighteenth-century investigations made to general expectations about the textile dyeing process. Despite the limitations of Turkey red and related colors—their confinement to textiles, the difficulties of reproduction, the inability to adapt them easily to the more fashionable printed-cotton industry—they are an important model for approaches and solutions to problems of color creation and replication in the
eighteenth century. Varied attempts at imitation of a desirable color persisted alongside achievements in understanding of the mechanical and chemical operations of the process. As a difficult project, it remained open to continued interest and investigation.
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